UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA -000-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

-oOo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) (ase 110. 2.17-inj-1174-0 W1
VS.	PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY
DAVID HOWARD BABIT,	
Defendant.	

Based on the agreement of the parties for a Protective Order, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby:

- 1. Grants a Protective Order to limit disclosure to the public, or any third party not directly related to this litigation, information/documents received pursuant to the order, relating to discovery in *United States v. David Howard Babit*, No: 2:17-mj-1174-GWF, and any criminal case that may subsume *United States v. David Howard Babit*, No: 2:17-mj-1174-GWF (hereinafter referred to as the "protected information").
- 2. Further orders that without leave of Court, the parties to this matter, defined herein for the matters of this protective order as the Government, and counsel for the defendant, shall not:
 - make copies for, or allow copies of any kind to be made by any other person of the protected information;
 - b. permit dissemination of the protected information at the Nevada Southern Detention Center jail facility, or any other detention facility where the defendants are housed; allow any other person to read the protected information, or reveal

orally or in writing any of the protected information to a non-party to this litigation; and

- c. use of the protective information for any other purpose other than preparing to defend against the charges in this indictment or any further superseding indictment arising out of this case; and
- Further, the Court orders that the parties inform the Court any person to whom
 disclosure may be made pursuant to this order of the existence and terms of this Court's
 order.
- 4. Finally, the Court orders that upon conclusion of this action, the defendant's attorney must return to the United States, or destroy and certify to government counsel the destruction of the protected information, within a reasonable time, not to exceed thirty days after the last appeal is final.
- 5. This protective order shall not be construed to prevent either party from using the protected document at trial, should the court deem the protected document admissible.

Dated this 24 day of January , 2018.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

THE HONOGABLE GEORGE W. FOLEY

United States Magistrate Judge